

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/509,051	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MICULKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Leon Y. Lum	<b>Art Unit</b> 1641	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Leon Y. Lum.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Diane Wong.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2006

**Time:** 12:50 pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative indicated in a voicemail message that a response has not been filed in reply to the Office Action mailed 27 June 2005.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)